## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

FILED

		2008 AUG 19 PM: 3: 05
TC		DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  Huff CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Huff CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
FR	OM: <u>R. M</u>	ullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/18/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv1484 H (BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff		
CASE TITLE: Jackson v. Kight		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter (Re: Request for Appointment of Counsel)		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
/	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
X	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER:
/		<b>Date forwarded:</b> 8/18/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE HIDGE		
IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
Z		nent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
J	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected de	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1	
	Dated:cc: All Part	CHAMBERS OF: Judge Huff  By: Spr   PSL C